

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,761	02/11/2004	R. Resendes	CH-8116/PS1138	3257
7	590 05/17/2006	EXAMINER		
Lanxess Corp		TESKIN, FRED M		
Law & Intellectual Property Department 111 RIDC Park West Drive Pittsburgh, PA 15275-1112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,		U		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/776,761	RESENDES ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Fred M. Teskin	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M	larch 2006.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		:			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	·				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-15 is/are withdrawn	•				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7)⊠	Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to.		•			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	. •	•			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)			
, -		priority and or or or or or	. 10(4) (4) 5. (1).			
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		olication No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
	application from the International Bureau	<u> </u>	3			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.			
•						
, Attach						
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1713

Applicants' election of the invention of Group I, claims 1-8, in the reply filed on March 30, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 9-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 30, 2006.

The references cited in the Search Report of 26 May 2004 have been considered. However, though cited as an "X" category document, US 4215022 is not being applied in any rejection herein because it is not seen to teach or imply either a conversion level as claimed or that cyclo-conjugated dienes such as cyclopentadiene will perform the requisite "cross-linking agent" function.

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: the "%" symbol should be inserted after "95" in each claim. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1713

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 02/16452 ("Bayer").

The invention, as defined in claim 1, is a process for the production of a polymer(s) having a Mooney viscosity of at least 25 Mooney-units and a gel content of less than 15 wt. % comprising repeating units derived from at least one isoolefin monomer, more than 4.1 mol % of repeating units derived from at least one multiolefin monomer, comprising mixing at least isoolefin monomers, at least one multiolefin monomer and optionally further copolymerizable monomers in the presence of AlCl₃ and at least one proton source and/or cationogen capable of initiating the polymerization process and at least one multiolefin cross-linking agent, wherein the process is conducted in the absence of transition metal compounds and organic nitro compounds, wherein the process is continuous, and wherein the conversion level of the polymer is between 50% and 95%.

Bayer shows a continuous polymerization which meets all the positive process limitations of claims 1-4, 7 and 8, and produces butyl polymers having the requisite Mooney viscosity; see Example 5 and Tables 7 and 8 on pages 32-34. Neither gel content nor amount of isoprene-derived repeating units is reported for the polymer

Art Unit: 1713

products. Nevertheless, given the correspondence in monomers and polymerization conditions including conversion level, there is ample basis for inferring that these undisclosed product parameters are intrinsically possessed by the butyl polymers described in at least Example 5 of Bayer.

Where, as here, there is sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not. *In re Spada*, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

With respect to claims 5 and 6, determining the optimum reactor volume on the basis of customary considerations such as desired scale of reaction and yield of product would have been well within the level of the ordinarily skilled practitioner. As such, modification of the continuous process of Bayer through use of a continuous reactor having a volume as claimed is seen as nothing more than an obvious choice in process design to those having ordinary skill in the polymer art.

No claims are allowable at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner F. M. Teskin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM, and can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1713

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FMTeskin/05-14-06

FRED TESKIN RIMARY EXAMINER